

# FORECASTING

Herman J. Bierens

Pennsylvania State University

February 26, 2009

## 1. Recursive best linear forecasting

Let  $Y_t$  be a covariance stationary time series process, with  $E[Y_t] = 0$ . The best linear  $h$ -step ahead forecast of  $Y_{t+h}$ ,  $h = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , given the observations on  $Y_t, Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots, Y_{t-m}$  is a linear function of  $Y_{t-j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , say:

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t,m} = \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,j} Y_{t-j}, \quad (1)$$

such that the mean-square forecast error

$$E\left(Y_{t+h} - \hat{Y}_{t+h|t,m}\right)^2 = E\left(Y_{t+h} - \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,j} Y_{t-j}\right)^2 \quad (2)$$

is minimal. Therefore, the coefficients  $\gamma_{h,m,j}$  are such that the first-order conditions

$$E\left(Y_{t+h} - \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,j} Y_{t-j}\right) Y_{t-k} = 0 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (3)$$

are satisfied.

Note that we can write (2) and (3) in terms of the covariance function

$$f(k) = \text{cov}(Y_t, Y_{t-k}) = E[Y_t Y_{t-k}] \quad (4)$$

(the last equality follows from the assumption that  $E[Y_t] = 0$ ):

$$E\left(Y_{t+h} - \hat{Y}_{t+h|t,m}\right)^2 = f(0) - 2 \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,j} f(h+j) + \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,i} \gamma_{h,m,j} f(|i-j|) \quad (5)$$

with first-order conditions:

$$f(h+k) = \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,j} f(|k-j|), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (6)$$

Given the covariance function  $f()$ , we can in general solve the coefficients  $\gamma_{h,m,j}$  uniquely from (6).

Obviously, the mean-square error (2) is non-increasing in  $m$ , hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E \left( Y_{t+h} - \hat{Y}_{t+h|t,m} \right)^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E \left( Y_{t+h} - \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,j} Y_{t-j} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

exists. However, in general this result does not imply that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Y}_{t+h|t,m}$  exists,<sup>1</sup> but it does imply that there exists a random variable  $\hat{Y}_{t+h|t}$  measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^t$  generated by  $Y_t, Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots$  such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E \left( \hat{Y}_{t+h|t} - \hat{Y}_{t+h|t,m} \right)^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E \left( Y_{t+h|t} - \sum_{j=0}^m \gamma_{h,m,j} Y_{t-j} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

This random variable  $\hat{Y}_{t+h|t}$  is called the best linear  $h$ -step ahead linear forecast of  $Y_{t+h}$ . On the other hand, if  $Y_t$  is a covariance stationary ARMA process with invertible MA lag polynomial<sup>2</sup> then  $\hat{Y}_{t+h|t} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Y}_{t+h|t,m}$  exists and takes the form

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{h,j} Y_{t-j} \quad (9)$$

with coefficients determined by

$$f(h+k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{h,j} f(|k-j|), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (10)$$

In the rest of this lecture note I will focus on this case only.

Now consider the best linear one-step ahead forecast of  $Y_{t+2}$ :

$$\hat{Y}_{t+2|t+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j} Y_{t+1-j} = \gamma_{1,0} Y_{t+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j+1} Y_{t-j}. \quad (11)$$

This expression can be rewritten as

<sup>1</sup> See for example: Bierens, H.J. (2009), "The space spanned by a countable infinite sequence in an Hilbert space, with application to the Wold decomposition", lecture note downloadable from URL [http://econ.la.psu.edu/~hbierens/HILBERT\\_SPAN.PDF](http://econ.la.psu.edu/~hbierens/HILBERT_SPAN.PDF)

<sup>2</sup> I.e, the roots of the MA lag polynomial involved are located outside the complex unit circle, and for the ARMA process to be covariance stationary the same must hold for the AR lag polynomial.

$$\hat{Y}_{t+2|t+1} = \gamma_{1,0}(Y_{t+1} - \hat{Y}_{t+1|t}) + \gamma_{1,0}\hat{Y}_{t+1|t} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j+1}Y_{t-j}. \quad (12)$$

It follows from the first-order conditions that for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E(Y_{t+2} - \hat{Y}_{t+2|t+1})Y_{t-k} = E\left[-\gamma_{1,0}(Y_{t+1} - \hat{Y}_{t+1|t}) + Y_{t+2} - \gamma_{1,0}\hat{Y}_{t+1|t} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j+1}Y_{t-j}\right]Y_{t-k} \\ &= -\gamma_{1,0}E(Y_{t+1} - \hat{Y}_{t+1|t})Y_{t-k} + E\left[Y_{t+2} - \gamma_{1,0}\hat{Y}_{t+1|t} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j+1}Y_{t-j}\right]Y_{t-k} \\ &= E\left[Y_{t+2} - \gamma_{1,0}\hat{Y}_{t+1|t} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j+1}Y_{t-j}\right]Y_{t-k}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

hence:

$$\hat{Y}_{t+2|t} = \gamma_{1,0}\hat{Y}_{t+1|t} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j+1}Y_{t-j}. \quad (14)$$

More generally we have:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $Y_t$  be a covariance stationary ARMA process with  $E[Y_t] = 0$  and invertible MA lag polynomial. Let  $Y_{t-j}$  be observable for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Replacing in the expression for the best linear one-step ahead forecast  $\hat{Y}_{t+h|t+h-1}$  of  $Y_{t+h}$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t+h-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j}Y_{t+h-1-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{h-2} \gamma_{1,j}Y_{t+h-1-j} + \sum_{j=h-1}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j}Y_{t+h-1-j} \quad (15)$$

the unobserved  $Y_{t+h-1-j}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, h-2$ , by best linear forecasts  $\hat{Y}_{t+h-1-j|t}$ , respectively, yields the best linear  $h$ -step ahead forecast of  $Y_{t+h}$ :

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t} = \sum_{j=0}^{h-2} \gamma_{1,j}\hat{Y}_{t+h-1-j|t} + \sum_{j=h-1}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j}Y_{t+h-1-j}. \quad (16)$$

If  $E[Y_t] = \mu \neq 0$ , the best linear  $h$ -step ahead forecast takes the form

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t} = \delta_h + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{h,j}Y_{t-j}. \quad (17)$$

Exercise 1: Show that

$$\delta_h = \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{h,j} \right) \mu \quad (18)$$

with the coefficients  $\gamma_{h,j}$  determined by (10), so that

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t} = \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{h,j} (Y_{t-j} - \mu). \quad (19)$$

The practical implication of this result is that in forecasting  $Y_{t+h}$  we may first forecast  $Y_{t+h} - \mu$ , using the result of Theorem 1, and then add  $\mu$  to the forecast involved.

Exercise 2: Prove that:

**THEOREM 2:** For the case  $E[Y_t] = \mu \neq 0$  the result of Theorem 1 becomes

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t} = \sum_{j=0}^{h-2} \gamma_{1,j} \hat{Y}_{t+h-1-j|t} + \sum_{j=h-1}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j} Y_{t+h-1-j} + \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{1,j} \right) \mu. \quad (20)$$

## 2. Forecasting with an ARMA(p,q) model

Consider the ARMA(p,q) process

$$Y_t = \mu + u_t, \quad \alpha(L)u_t = \beta(L)e_t,$$

where

$$\alpha(L) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j L^j, \quad \beta(L) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j L^j, \quad (21)$$

$$\alpha(z) = 0 \Rightarrow |z| > 1, \quad \beta(z) = 0 \Rightarrow |z| > 1,$$

$e_t$  is white noise:  $E(e_t) = 0$ ,  $E(e_t^2) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ ,  $E(e_t e_{t-j}) = 0$  for  $j \neq 0$ .

Moreover, we have to assume that the lag polynomials  $\alpha(L)$  and  $\beta(L)$  do not have common roots (Exercise 3: Why?). Since the lag polynomial  $\beta(L)$  is invertible, because all its roots are outside the unit circle, we can write this process as an AR( $\infty$ ) process:

$$\gamma(L)(Y_t - \mu) = e_t, \text{ where } \gamma(L) = \beta(L)^{-1}\alpha(L) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j L^{j+1}, \quad (22)$$

say. Note that  $\gamma(z) = 0 \Rightarrow |z| > 1$ . Thus:

$$Y_{t+1} = \delta + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j Y_{t-j} + e_{t+1}, \text{ where } \delta = \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j\right) \mu. \quad (23)$$

Consequently, the best linear one-step ahead forecast of  $Y_{t+1}$  is:

$$\hat{Y}_{t+1|t} = \delta + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j Y_{t-j} = \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j (Y_{t-j} - \mu). \quad (24)$$

(*Exercise 4: Why?*) Using Theorem 2, we can recursively find the best linear  $h$ -step ahead forecast of  $Y_{t+h}$  by

$$\hat{Y}_{t+h|t} = \sum_{j=0}^{h-2} \gamma_j \hat{Y}_{t+h-1-j|t} + \sum_{j=h-1}^{\infty} \gamma_j Y_{t+h-1-j} + \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j\right) \mu. \quad (25)$$

The practical problem with the above approach is three-fold: First, we usually do not observe the whole process  $Y_t$ , but only a finite number of  $Y_t$ 's, say for  $t = 1, \dots, n$ . Second,  $p$  and  $q$  are unknown. We will address that problem later. Third, we do not observe the coefficients  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_j$  directly. These coefficients have to be estimated. The latter can be done by maximum likelihood, but that requires further assumptions on the distribution of the white noise errors  $e_t$ .

An alternative approach is nonlinear least squares estimation, together with the assumption that  $e_t = 0$  for  $t < 1$ , hence  $u_t = 0$  for  $t < 1$  and  $Y_t = \mu$  for  $t < 1$ . The assumption  $e_t = 0$  for  $t < 1$  is asymptotically innocent: it does not affect the consistency or asymptotic normality of the parameter estimates. The least squares problem involved is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^n e_t(\theta)^2, \\ & \text{subject to} \\ e_t(\theta) &= \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j I(t-j>0) e_{t-j}(\theta) + Y_t - \mu - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j I(t-j>0) (Y_{t-j} - \mu), \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \\ & \text{where } \theta = (\mu, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)^T, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

with  $I(\cdot)$  the indicator function:  $I(\text{true}) = 1, I(\text{false}) = 0$ . Under some regularity conditions, in particular the condition that  $p$  and  $q$  are correctly specified, and the condition that the errors  $e_t$  are martingale differences:  $E[e_t | e_{t-1}, e_{t-2}, e_{t-3}, \dots] = 0$ , it can be shown that the nonlinear least squares estimator  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\alpha}_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_p, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_q)^T$  is consistent and asymptotically normally distributed:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N_{p+q+1}[0, \Omega_1^{-1} \Omega_2 \Omega_1^{-1}] \text{ in distribution,}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \left[ \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta^T} \right) \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \right], \\ \Omega_2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \left[ e_t(\theta)^2 \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta^T} \right) \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Moreover, these two matrices can be consistently estimated by

$$\hat{\Omega}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta^T} \right) \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \Bigg|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}, \quad \hat{\Omega}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n e_t(\theta)^2 \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta^T} \right) \left( \frac{\partial e_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \Bigg|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}, \quad (28)$$

respectively.

Once we have estimated the parameters  $\alpha_i, \beta_j$ , we can compute the  $\gamma_j$ 's recursively, as follows. Observe from (22) that

$$e_t = u_t - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j u_{t-1-j}. \quad (29)$$

If we set in (29)  $u_t = -1$  for  $t = -1$ ,  $u_t = 0$  for  $t \neq -1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} e_j &= 0 \text{ for } j < -1 \\ e_{-1} &= u_{-1} = -1 \\ e_0 &= u_0 - \gamma_0 u_{-1} = \gamma_0 \\ e_1 &= u_1 - \gamma_0 u_0 - \gamma_1 u_{-1} = \gamma_1 \\ e_2 &= u_2 - \gamma_0 u_2 - \gamma_1 u_0 - \gamma_2 u_{-1} = \gamma_2 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ e_t &= \gamma_t, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

But it follows from (21) that also

$$e_t = \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j e_{t-j} + u_t - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j u_{t-j}. \quad (31)$$

Thus if we set in (31),  $u_t = -1$  for  $t = -1$ ,  $u_t = 0$  for  $t \neq -1$ ,  $e_j = 0$  for  $j < -1$ , then  $e_{-1} = -1$  and  $e_t = \gamma_t$  for  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore, the  $\gamma_j$ 's can be solved recursively, on the basis of the nonlinear least squares estimation results, by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_{-1-j} &= 0 \text{ for } j > 0, \\ \hat{\gamma}_{-1} &= -1, \\ \hat{\gamma}_0 &= \hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\beta}_1, \\ \hat{\gamma}_j &= \sum_{i=1}^q \hat{\beta}_i \hat{\gamma}_{j-i} + \hat{\alpha}_{j+1} \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, p-1, \\ \hat{\gamma}_j &= \sum_{i=1}^q \hat{\beta}_i \hat{\gamma}_{j-i} \text{ for } j \geq p. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Replacing in (24) the  $Y_{t-j}$  for  $j \geq t$  by  $\hat{\mu}$  and the other parameters by their estimates, yields the feasible best linear one-step ahead forecast

$$\tilde{Y}_{t+1|t} = \hat{\mu} + \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \hat{\gamma}_j (Y_{t-j} - \hat{\mu}),$$

and replacing in (25)  $Y_{t+h-1-j}$  for  $j \geq t+h-1$  by  $\hat{\mu}$  and the other parameters by their estimates, yields the recursive formula for the feasible best linear  $h$ -step ahead forecast:

$$\tilde{Y}_{t+h|t} = \hat{\mu} + \sum_{j=0}^{h-2} \hat{\gamma}_j \tilde{Y}_{t+h-1-j|t} + \sum_{j=h-1}^{t+h-2} \hat{\gamma}_j (Y_{t+h-1-j} - \hat{\mu}). \quad (34)$$

As to the choice of  $p$  and  $q$ , there are a few model selection tools on the market such as the Akaike, Hannan-Quinn and Schwarz information criteria.<sup>3</sup> However, if forecasting is the goal, then the out-of-sample forecasting performance may be a better criterion. Thus, select a sub-sample  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ ,  $m < n$ , and estimate the parameters of the ARMA( $p, q$ ) model using the sub-sample only. Then choose  $p$  and  $q$  such that the sum of squared out-of-sample forecast errors,

$$\sum_{h=1}^{n-m} (Y_{m+h} - \tilde{Y}_{m+h|m})^2$$

---

<sup>3</sup> See for example Bierens, H.J. (2006), "Information Criteria and Model Selection", lecture note, downloadable from <http://econ.la.psu.edu/~hbierens/INFORMATIONCRIT.PDF>

is minimal. Once you have determined  $p$  and  $q$ , re-estimate the parameters using the whole sample  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ , and forecast  $Y_{n+h}$  by  $\tilde{Y}_{m+h|n}$ .