

# The Integrated Conditional Moment Test

Herman J. Bierens

## Abstract

In this lecture I will review the integrated conditional moment (ICM) test for functional form of a conditional expectation model. This is a consistent test: the ICM test has asymptotic power 1 against all deviations from the null hypothesis. Moreover, this test has non-trivial  $\sqrt{n}$  local power.

I will focus on the mathematical foundations of the ICM approach, in particular the consistency proof and the derivation of the asymptotic null distribution.

# 1 The Fourier transform of a Borel measurable function

Let  $g(x)$  be a Borel measurable real function on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . The Fourier transform of  $g(x)$  relative to a probability measure  $\mu_X(\cdot)$  on the Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is defined by

$$\varphi(\xi) = \int \exp(i.\xi'x) g(x) d\mu_X(x), \quad i = \sqrt{-1},$$

provided that  $\int |g(x)| d\mu_X(x) < \infty$ .

**LEMMA 1:** *Let  $g_1(x)$  and  $g_2(x)$  be Borel measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  satisfying  $\int |g_1(x)| d\mu_X(x) < \infty$ ,  $\int |g_2(x)| d\mu_X(x) < \infty$ , with Fourier transforms  $\varphi_1(\xi)$ ,  $\varphi_2(\xi)$ , respectively, relative to a probability measure  $\mu(\cdot)$  on the Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$  a.s.  $\mu_X(\cdot)$ , i.e.,*

$$B_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : g_1(x) - g_2(x) = 0\} \Rightarrow \mu_X(B_0) = 1, \text{ if and only if } \varphi_1(\xi) \equiv \varphi_2(\xi).$$

*Proof:* Suppose  $\varphi_1(\xi) \equiv \varphi_2(\xi)$  and  $\mu_X(B_0) < 1$ . Let

$$r_1(x) = \max(0, g_1(x) - g_2(x)),$$

$$r_2(x) = \max(0, -g_1(x) + g_2(x))$$

Then  $g_1(x) - g_2(x) = r_1(x) - r_2(x)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \exp(i\xi'x) r_1(x) d\mu_X(x) \\ & - \int \exp(i\xi'x) r_2(x) d\mu_X(x) \\ & = \varphi_1(\xi) - \varphi_2(\xi) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $\xi = 0$  yields

$$\int r_1(x) d\mu_X(x) = \int r_2(x) d\mu_X(x) = c \geq 0.$$

If  $c = 0$  then  $r_1(x) = r_2(x) = 0$  a.s.  $\mu(\cdot)$ , hence  $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$  a.s.  $\mu(\cdot)$ .

Therefore, assume that  $c > 0$ . Then we can define the probability measures

$$v_m(B) = \frac{1}{c} \int_B r_m(x) d\mu_X(x), \quad m = 1, 2,$$

with corresponding characteristic functions

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_m(\xi) &= \int \exp(i.\xi'y) dv_m(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \int \exp(i.\xi'x) r_m(x) d\mu_X(x)\end{aligned}$$

for  $m = 1, 2$ . But I have just established that  $\int \exp(i.\xi'x) r_1(x) d\mu_X(x) \equiv \int \exp(i.\xi'x) r_2(x) d\mu_X(x)$ , hence  $\psi_1(\xi) \equiv \psi_2(\xi)$ , which by the uniqueness of characteristic functions implies that  $v_1(B) = v_2(B)$  for all Borel sets  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . It is now an easy (ECON 501) exercise to verify that the latter implies  $r_1(x) = r_2(x)$  a.s.  $\mu_X(\cdot)$ , hence  $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$  a.s.  $\mu_X(\cdot)$ .

Corollary:

**LEMMA 2:** *Let  $U$  be a random variable satisfying  $E[|U|] < \infty$ , and let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be a random vector. If  $P[E(U|X) = 0] < 1$  then there exists a  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $E[U \exp(i.\xi'X)] \neq 0$ .*

Question: Where to look for such a  $\xi$  ?

**LEMMA 3:** *If  $X$  is bounded then under the conditions of Lemma 2, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\xi$  satisfying  $\|\xi\| < \varepsilon$  such that  $E[U \exp(i.\xi'X)] \neq 0$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[U \exp(i.\xi X)] &= E \left[ U \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^m \xi^m X^m}{m!} \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^m \xi^m}{m!} E[U.X^m] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E[U \exp(i.\xi X)] \neq 0$  for some  $\xi$  we must have that  $E[U.X^m] \neq 0$  for some integer  $m \geq 0$ . Let  $m_0$  be the smallest  $m$  for which  $E[U.X^m] \neq 0$ . Then

$$\left. \frac{d^{m_0} E[U \exp(i.\xi X)]}{(d\xi)^{m_0}} \right|_{\xi=0} = i^{m_0} E[U.X^{m_0}] \neq 0$$

which implies that  $E[U \exp(i.\xi X)] \neq 0$  for  $\xi \neq 0$  arbitrarily close to zero.

**LEMMA 4:** *Under the conditions of Lemma 3, the set  $S_0 = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^k : E[U \exp(i.\xi'X)] = 0\}$  has Lebesgue measure zero and is nowhere dense.*

*Proof:* Let  $k = 1$  and  $\xi_0 \in S_0$ . Define  $U_0 = U \exp(i.\xi_0 X)$ . Then  $P(E[U_0|X] = 0) < 1$ , hence for an arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\xi \in (-\varepsilon, 0) \cup (0, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$E[U \exp(i.\xi_0 X) \exp(i.\xi X)] \neq 0.$$

By continuity it follows now that for each  $\xi_0 \in S_0$  there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$\xi \notin S_0$  for all  $\xi \in (\xi_0 - \varepsilon, \xi_0) \cup (\xi_0, \xi_0 + \varepsilon)$ . Consequently, in the case  $k = 1$ , the set  $S_0$  is countable and is nowhere dense. In the general case  $k \geq 1$ ,  $S_0$  has Lebesgue measure zero and is nowhere dense.

More generally, we have:

**LEMMA 5:** *Let  $w(u)$  be a real or complex valued function of the type*

$$w(u) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_s/s!) u^s$$

*where  $|\gamma_s| < \infty$  and at most a finite number of  $\gamma_s$ 's are zero. Then under the conditions of Lemma 3, the set*

$$S_0 = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k : E [U.w (\xi' X)] = 0 \}$$

*has Lebesgue measure zero and is nowhere dense.*

For example, let  $w(u) = \cos(u) + \sin(u)$ , or  $w(u) = \exp(u)$ .

The condition that the random vector  $X$  is bounded can be get rid of by replacing  $X$  with  $\Phi(X)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a Borel measurable bounded one-to-one mapping, because the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X$  is then the same as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\Phi(X)$ , hence conditioning on  $\Phi(X)$  is equivalent to conditioning on  $X$ .

**THEOREM 1:** *Let  $U$  be a random variable satisfying  $E[|U|] < \infty$  and let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be a random vector. Denote*

$$S = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k : E[U \cdot w(\xi' \Phi(X))] = 0 \},$$

*where  $w(\cdot)$  is defined in Lemma 5, and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is a Borel measurable bounded one-to-one mapping. If  $P[E(U|X) = 0] < 1$  then  $S$  has Lebesgue measure zero and is nowhere dense, whereas if  $P[E(U|X) = 0] = 1$  then  $S = \mathbb{R}^k$ .*

## 2 The ICM test

Given a random sample  $(Y_j, X_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $X_j \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , and a conditional expectation model

$$E(Y_j|X_j) = g(X_j, \theta_0), \theta_0 \in \Theta,$$

where  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is the parameter space, Theorem 1 suggests to test the correctness of the functional specification of this model on the basis of following ICM statistic:

$$\int |\widehat{z}(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi)$$

In this expression,  $\mu(\xi)$  is an absolutely continuous (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure) probability measure with compact support  $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ , and

$$\widehat{z}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{U}_j w(\xi' \Phi(X_j)).$$

where  $\widehat{U}_j = Y_j - g(X_j, \widehat{\theta})$ , with  $\widehat{\theta}$  the NLLS estimator of  $\theta_0$ ,  $\Phi$  is a bounded one-to-one mapping, and  $w(\cdot)$  is a weight function satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.

More formally, the null hypothesis to be tested is that

$H_0$ : There exists a  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  such that

$$P[E(y_j|x_j) = g(x_j, \theta_0)] = 1,$$

and the alternative hypothesis is that  $H_0$  is false:

$H_1$ : For all  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,

$$P[E(y_j|x_j) = g(x_j, \theta)] < 1,$$

Under the null hypothesis and standard regularity conditions,

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\theta} - \theta_0 \right) = A^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left. \frac{\partial g(X_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta'} \right|_{\theta=\theta_0} U_j + o_p(1)$$

where

$$A = p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial g(X_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta'} \right) \left( \frac{\partial g(X_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta'} \right)' \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}$$

Hence, by the uniform law of large numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{U}_j w(\xi' \Phi(X_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n U_j w(\xi' \Phi(X_j)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( g(X_j, \hat{\theta}) - g(X_j, \theta_0) \right) w(\xi' \Phi(X_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n U_j \phi_j(\xi) + o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

say, where

$$\phi_j(\xi) = w(\xi' \Phi(X_j)) - b(\xi)' A^{-1} \frac{\partial g(X_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta'} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0}$$

with

$$b(\xi) = p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g(X_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta'} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} w(\xi' \Phi(X_j)),$$

and  $o_p(1)$  is uniform in  $\xi \in \Xi$ .

**THEOREM 2:** *Under the null hypothesis and some regularity conditions (one of these conditions is that  $\Xi$  is compact),*

$$\widehat{z}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{U}_j w(\xi' \Phi(X_j)) \Rightarrow z(\xi) \text{ on } \Xi,$$

where  $z(\xi)$  is a zero-mean Gaussian process on  $\Xi$ , with covariance function

$$\Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2) = E [z(\xi_1)z(\xi_2)].$$

Hence by the continuous mapping theorem,

$$\int |\widehat{z}(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi) \rightarrow_d \int |z(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi).$$

Under the alternative that the null is false,

$\widehat{z}(\xi)/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow_p \eta(\xi)$  uniformly on  $\Xi$ , where  $\eta(\xi) \neq 0$  except on a set with zero Lebesgue measure, so that

$$(1/n) \int |\widehat{z}(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi) \rightarrow_p \int |\eta(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi) > 0,$$

provided that  $\mu(\xi)$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure and its support  $\Xi$  has positive Lebesgue measure.

### 3 The null distribution of the ICM test

If we choose the weight function  $w$  real-valued, for example  $w(u) = \cos(u) + \sin(u)$ , then  $z(\xi)$  is a real-valued zero-mean Gaussian process on  $\Xi$ , with real-valued covariance function

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2) &= E [z(\xi_1)z(\xi_2)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E [U_j^2 \phi_j(\xi_1) \phi_j(\xi_2)] .\end{aligned}$$

This covariance function is symmetric and positive semidefinite, in the following sense:

$$\int \int \psi(\xi_1) \Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2) \psi(\xi_2) d\mu(\xi_1) d\mu(\xi_2) \geq 0$$

for all Lebesgue integrable functions  $\psi(\xi)$  on  $\Xi$ . Such functions have non-negative eigenvalues and corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions:

**THEOREM 3:** *The functional eigenvalue problem  $\int \Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2)\psi(\xi_2)d\mu(\xi_2) = \lambda.\psi(\xi_1)$  a.e. on  $\Xi$  has a countable number of solutions*

$$\int \Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2)\psi_j(\xi_2)d\mu(\xi_2) = \lambda_j.\psi_j(\xi_1)a.e. \text{ on } \Xi,$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots$$

where

$$\lambda_j \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j < \infty,$$

$$\int_{\Xi} \psi_{j_1}(\xi)\psi_{j_2}(\xi)d\mu(\xi) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } j_1 \neq j_2 \\ = 1 & \text{if } j_1 = j_2 \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

**THEOREM 4 (Mercer's Theorem):** *The covariance function  $\Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  can be written as  $\Gamma(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j\psi_j(\xi_1)\psi_j(\xi_2)$ .*

The sequence  $\{\psi_t(\xi)\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  is an orthonormal basis for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$  of Lebesgue integrable functions on  $\Xi$ , with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(\xi) g(\xi) d\mu(\xi).$$

so that every function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$  can be written as

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma_t \psi_t(\xi), \quad \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma_t^2 < \infty, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\gamma_t = \langle f, \psi_t \rangle, \quad t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It can be shown that  $z(\xi)$  is a random element of  $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ , hence

$$z(\xi) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} z_t \psi_t(\xi), \quad \text{where}$$

$$z_t = \int_{\Xi} z(\xi) \psi_t(\xi) d\mu(\xi), \quad t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |z(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi) &= \int \left( \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} z_t \psi_t(\xi) \right)^2 d\mu(\xi) \\
&= \sum_{t_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=1}^{\infty} z_{t_1} z_{t_2} \int \psi_{t_1}(\xi) \psi_{t_2}(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \\
&= \sum_{t_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t_2=1}^{\infty} z_{t_1} z_{t_2} I(t_1 = t_2) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} z_t^2
\end{aligned}$$

The sequence  $z_t$  is a zero-mean Gaussian process, with variance function

$$\begin{aligned}
E[z_t^2] &= E \left[ \left( \int z(\xi) \psi_t(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \int \int E[z(\xi_1) z(\xi_2)] \psi_t(\xi_1) \psi_t(\xi_2) d\mu(\xi_1) d\mu(\xi_2) \\
&= \int \int \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \psi_j(\xi_1) \psi_j(\xi_2) \right) \psi_t(\xi_1) \psi_t(\xi_2) \\
&\quad \times d\mu(\xi_1) d\mu(\xi_2)
\end{aligned}$$

where the latter equality follows from Mercer's theorem.

Thus by the orthonormality of the eigenfunctions,

$$\begin{aligned} E [z_t^2] &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left( \int \psi_j(\xi) \psi_t(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j I(j = t) = \lambda_t. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by a similar argument it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E [z_{t_1} z_{t_2}] &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j I(j = t_1) I(j = t_2) \\ &= 0 \text{ if } t_1 \neq t_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, denoting  $\varepsilon_t = z_t / \sqrt{\lambda_t}$  if  $\lambda_t > 0$ , we have:

**THEOREM 5:**  $\int |z(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \lambda_t \varepsilon_t^2$ , where the  $\varepsilon_t$ 's are i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$  and the  $\lambda_t$ 's are the eigenvalues of the covariance function  $\Gamma$ .

## 4 Critical values

The problem is that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_t$  are case-dependent: They depend on the distribution of the regressors, the functional form of the NLLS model, and the conditional variance of the errors. Therefore, the distribution of  $\int |z(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi)$  cannot be tabulated. A possible way to get around this problem is to bootstrap this distribution. However, a convenient way to get around this problem is to derive upper bounds of the critical values, as follows.

Without loss of generality we may assume that the  $\lambda_t$ 's are positive and arranged in decreasing order. Moreover, it follows from Mercer's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}\int \Gamma(\xi, \xi) d\mu(\xi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \int \psi_j(\xi)^2 d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j\end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 6:** Denoting  $p_t = \lambda_t / \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int |z(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi)}{\int \Gamma(\xi, \xi) d\mu(\xi)} &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} p_t \varepsilon_t^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots, \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} p_t = 1} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} p_t \varepsilon_t^2 \\ &= \sup_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i^2 = \bar{T}, \end{aligned}$$

say,

so that asymptotic critical values can be derived from the latter distribution. The actual test statistic of the ICM test is therefore

$$\hat{T}_{ICM} = \frac{\int |\hat{z}(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi)}{\int \hat{\Gamma}(\xi, \xi) d\mu(\xi)},$$

where  $\hat{\Gamma}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  is a consistent estimator of  $\Gamma(\xi_2, \xi_2)$ , uniformly on  $\Xi \times \Xi$ .

## 5 Local power of the ICM test

Consider the local alternative hypothesis

$$H_1^L : E[Y_j|X_j] = g(X_j, \theta_0) + \frac{h(X_j)}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ a.s.},$$

where  $h(X_j)$  is not constant:

$$P[h(X_j) = E(h(X_j))] < 1.$$

Then under  $H_1^L$ ,

$$\widehat{z}(\xi) \Rightarrow z(\xi) + \omega(\xi) \text{ on } \Xi,$$

where  $z(\xi)$  is the same zero-mean Gaussian process on  $\Xi$  as before, and  $\omega(\xi)$  is a deterministic mean function satisfying  $0 < \int \omega(\xi)^2 d\mu(\xi) < \infty$ .

Similar to the case under the null hypothesis, we can write

$$z(\xi) + \omega(\xi) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} z_t \psi_t(\xi)$$

where now

$$z_t = \varepsilon_t \sqrt{\lambda_t} + \omega_t$$

with  $\varepsilon_t$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$  and  $\omega_t = \int \omega(\xi) \psi_t(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\widehat{z}(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi) &\rightarrow_d \int |z(\xi) + \omega(\xi)|^2 d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon_t \sqrt{\lambda_t} + \omega_t \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 7:** *The ICM test has nontrivial  $\sqrt{n}$ -local power, in the sense that for every  $K > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} &P \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon_t \sqrt{\lambda_t} + \omega_t \right)^2 \leq K \right] \\ &< P \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \lambda_t \varepsilon_t^2 \leq K \right] \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:*

Let

$$C_n = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon_t \sqrt{\lambda_t} + \omega_t \right)^2 - \left( \varepsilon_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \omega_n \right)^2$$

and suppose that  $\omega_n \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon_t \sqrt{\lambda_t} + \omega_t \right)^2 \leq K \right] \\ &= P \left[ \left( \varepsilon_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \omega_n \right)^2 \leq K - C_n \text{ and } C_n \leq K \right] \\ &= P \left[ -\sqrt{K - C_n} \leq \varepsilon_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} + \omega_n \leq \sqrt{K - C_n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } C_n \leq K \right] \\ &< P \left[ -\sqrt{K - C_n} \leq \varepsilon_n \sqrt{\lambda_n} \leq \sqrt{K - C_n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } C_n \leq K \right] \\ &= P \left[ \varepsilon_n^2 \lambda_n + C_n \leq K \text{ and } C_n \leq K \right] \\ &= P \left[ \varepsilon_n^2 \lambda_n + C_n \leq K \right] \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is due to the symmetry and unimodality of the  $N(0, \lambda_n)$  distribution. The result of Theorem 7 follows now by induction.

## 6 Bibliography

Bierens, H. J. (1982): "Consistent Model Specification Tests", *Journal of Econometrics* 20, 105-134.

Bierens, H. J. (1984): "Model Specification Testing of Time Series Regressions", *Journal of Econometrics* 26, 323-353.

Bierens, H. J. (1990): "A Consistent Conditional Moment Test of Functional Form", *Econometrica* 58, 1443-1458.

Bierens, H. J. and W. Ploberger (1997): "Asymptotic Theory of Integrated Conditional Moment Tests", *Econometrica* 65, 1129-1151.

De Jong, R. M. (1996): "On the Bierens Test Under Data Dependence", *Journal of Econometrics* 72, 1-32.

Stinchcombe, M. B., and H. White (1998): "Consistent Specification Testing with Nuisance Parameters Present Only Under the Alternative", *Econometric Theory* 14, 295-325.