

The Baum-Connes Conjecture and Parametrization of Representations

Nigel Higson

Department of Mathematics
Pennsylvania State University

Henrifest, June 2009

General problem: Obtain a description of the dual (the set of irreducible representations, up to equivalence) of a semisimple group, e.g. $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

(Typically *infinite-dimensional* representations, either tempered or admissible, up to infinitesimal equivalence.)

Various solutions: Gelfand, Harish-Chandra, Langlands, Knapp, Zuckermann, Vogan, Beilinson, Bernstein, ...

The **Baum-Connes conjecture** suggests an approach that is

- very naive
- apparently unnoticed before
- apparently viable

At the moment, the approach strays a bit far from the Baum-Connes point of view. Can it be framed as a refined version of Baum-Connes?

Baum-Connes map for a locally compact group:

$$\mu: K_*^{\text{top}}(G) \longrightarrow K_*(C_\lambda^*(G))$$

Inspired by:

- foliations (Connes)
- discrete series (Parthasarathy, Atiyah-Schmid, Connes-Moscovici)
- geometric K-homology (Atiyah, Baum-Douglas)

We should think of the RHS as the Atiyah-Hirzebruch K-theory of the (reduced) unitary dual of G . In our cases this is (almost) exactly what it is.

The Equivariant Index

Let D be an equivariant Dirac-type operator on a G -compact proper G -manifold M , acting on sections of some \mathbf{S} .

Its index in $K(C_\lambda^*(G))$ is obtained as follows:

- Manufacture from $C_c^\infty(M, \mathbf{S})$ (by a completion operation) a (Hilbert) $C_\lambda^*(G)$ -module.
- Manufacture from D (by completing its graph) an unbounded self-adjoint operator on this Hilbert module with compact resolvent.
- Take the index of this Fredholm operator.

Baum-Connes for Lie Groups

Here the conjecture is proved . . .

G = Connected semisimple group

K = Maximal compact subgroup

Assume $K \backslash G$ has a G -equivariant Spin^c -structure, given by a K -equivariant Clifford algebra representation

$c: \text{Cliff}(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow \text{End}(S)$ (where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$). Then

$$K_*^{\text{top}}(G) = R(G)$$

and to a representation $\tau: K \rightarrow U(V)$ corresponds the Dirac operator on $K \backslash G$ with coefficients in V .

Index of the Dirac Operator on $K \backslash G$

G = Semisimple group

K = Maximal compact subgroup

Let D = Dirac with coefficients in an (irreducible) K -module V .

- $C_c^\infty(K \backslash G, \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{V})$ is $(C_c^\infty(G) \otimes \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{V})^K$, and the Hilbert $C_\lambda^*(G)$ -module completion is

$$\mathcal{H} = (C_\lambda^*(G) \otimes \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{V})^K$$

- This we can *localize* at any $[\pi] \in \widehat{G}_\lambda$:

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes_{C_\lambda^*(G)} H_\pi = (H_\pi \otimes \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{V})^K$$

We obtain a *finite-dimensional* Hilbert space.

Index of the Dirac Operator on $K \backslash G$

- The Dirac operator is

$$D = \sum_{\text{o.n.b. for } p} X_j \otimes c(X_j) \otimes I$$

- It too localizes to each $(H_\pi \otimes S \otimes V)^K$ (where $[\pi] \in \widehat{G}_\lambda$).
- We obtain

$$\left\{ D_\pi = \sum \pi(X_j) \otimes c(X_j) \otimes I : (H_\pi \otimes S \otimes V)^K \longrightarrow (H_\pi \otimes S \otimes V)^K \right\}$$

- Compare Parthasarathy-Atiyah-Schmid, except at issue here is the *topology* of the dual, not measure theory.

Reduced C^* -Algebra of a Semisimple Group

Consider for example $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, after Gelfand & Naimark (or any complex semisimple group).

Let $B = MAN$ = Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices.

- For $(\sigma, \varphi) \in \widehat{M} \times \widehat{A}$, $\pi_{(\sigma, \varphi)} := \text{Ind}_B^G(\sigma \otimes \varphi \otimes 1)$ is irreducible.
- $C_\lambda^*(G)$ is represented as compact operators in each $H_{(\sigma, \varphi)}$.
- Corresponding to permutations $w \in W$ are intertwiners

$$I_w: H_{(\sigma, \varphi)} \rightarrow H_{(w\sigma, w\varphi)}.$$

- There results an isomorphism

$$C_\lambda^*(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\widehat{M} \times \widehat{A}, \mathcal{K}(H))^W$$

and a Morita equivalence with $C_0(\widehat{M} \times \widehat{A})^W$.

Computation of the Dirac Index

$G = \text{Complex semisimple}$, so that $\widehat{G}_\lambda = (\widehat{M} \times \widehat{A})/W$

$$\left\{ D_{(\sigma, \varphi)} : (H_{(\sigma, \varphi)} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes V)^K \longrightarrow (H_{(\sigma, \varphi)} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes V)^K \right\}$$

This is a cycle for $K^*((\widehat{M} \times \widehat{A})/W)$. One can calculate:

$$D^2 = \Omega_G \otimes I \otimes I - I \otimes \Omega_K \otimes I + I \otimes I \otimes \Omega_K,$$

where $\Omega = \text{Casimir}$. After some more calculation,

$$D^2 = \|\varphi^2\| - \|\sigma\|^2 + \|\tau + \rho\|^2.$$

Still further calculation shows that:

Theorem (Penington and Plymen)

Index(D) is supported on the component of $(\widehat{M} \times \widehat{A})/W$ labelled by ρ plus the highest weight τ of V and is the push-forward of the base representation into this euclidean space.

Some Questions

It would be interesting to calculate D more carefully on other than the $\rho + \tau$ component. (Connections to the Dirac family of Freed-Hopkins-Teleman and the orbit method?)

What about the push-forwards of other representations, aside from those with real infinitesimal character? Is there a cycle group more refined than $R(K)$ that more fully accounts for the dual as a geometric space?

Contraction of G to a subgroup K

Examined by Wigner *et al* in the 1950's, 1960's ...

G = Lie group

K = Lie subgroup

The *contraction of G along K* is

$$G_0 = K \ltimes (\text{Lie}(G)/\text{Lie}(K))$$

Notice that: G_0 = normal bundle for the inclusion of K into G .

If G acts isometrically on X , and if $Y \subseteq X$ is K -invariant, then G_0 acts on the normal bundle of Y in X (affine-isometrically on the fibers).

Cartan Motion Group

G = Semisimple group

K = Maximal compact subgroup

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, then

$$G_0 = K \ltimes \mathfrak{p}$$

and one can form G_t with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_t :

$$[X, Y]_t = \begin{cases} [X, Y] & \text{if } X \text{ or } Y \in \mathfrak{k} \\ t[X, Y] & \text{if } X \text{ and } Y \in \mathfrak{p}. \end{cases}$$

Baum-Connes Conjecture, Again

The family $\{G_t\}$ determines a specific map

$$K_*(C_\lambda^*(G_0)) \longrightarrow K_*(C_\lambda^*(G))$$

and the Baum-Connes conjecture is equivalent to the assertion that *this map is an isomorphism*.

(Why? Roughly speaking because the Baum-Connes left-hand sides for G and G_0 are the same.)

Equivalent (slightly loose) formulation of the assertion: *the continuous field $\{C_\lambda^*(G_t)\}$ is K -theoretically indistinguishable from a constant field*.

What does this version of Baum-Connes look like, from a representation-theoretic point of view?

Mackey's Analogy

Mackey (early 1970's): "... *there ought to exist a 'natural' one-to-one correspondence between almost all of the unitary representations of G_0 and almost all the unitary representations of G ...*"

By *almost all* he probably meant *with respect to Plancherel measure*.

Given Mackey's suggestion (and supporting computations), there is an interesting tension between measure theory (in the form of his analogy) and cohomology (in the form of Baum-Connes) ...

The Reduced Duals of G_0 and G

$$G_0 = \text{Compact} \times \text{Vector Group} = K \times V$$

By Fourier and Peter-Weyl,

$$C^*(G_0) \cong K \times C^*(V) \cong K \times C_0(\widehat{V}) \cong C_0(\widehat{V}, \mathcal{K}(L^2(K)))^K.$$

This gives

$$\widehat{G}_0 = \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \widehat{V}} \widehat{K}_\phi / K,$$

while on the other hand

$$\widehat{G}_\lambda = (\widehat{M} \times \widehat{A}) / W$$

for complex semisimple G .

A Mackey Bijection

$$\boxed{\bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \hat{V}} \hat{K}_\varphi / K} \cong \boxed{\hat{M} \times \hat{A} / W}$$

■ $\hat{V}/K = \hat{A}/W$

■ For all $\varphi \in \hat{A}$, K_φ is connected with maximal torus M .

$\Rightarrow \hat{K}_\varphi = \hat{M}/W_\varphi$ by Cartan-Weyl.

$\Rightarrow \hat{G}_0 = \bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \hat{V}} \hat{K}_\varphi / K = \bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \hat{A}} \hat{K}_\varphi / W$
 $= \bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \hat{A}} \hat{M}/W_\varphi / W$
 $= (\hat{M} \times \hat{A})/W$
 $= \hat{G}_\lambda$

More Calculations

Some work of students . . .

Chris George: Similar bijection for $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (and similar structure theorem for the C^* -algebra—next slide—in progress).

John Skukalek: Similar bijection and structure theorem for almost-connected groups with connected component of identity complex semisimple.

Some help from the experts . . .

With the ample assistance of *David Vogan*, the general semisimple (or reductive) case seems well within reach.

Minimal K-Types

What is the connection to Baum-Connes?

Label each irrep of G by its *minimal K-type(s)* (for G complex semisimple, the set \widehat{K} is partially ordered by highest weights and there is a unique minimal K -type in each irrep).

Theorem: *The bijection preserves minimal K-types (which always have multiplicity one).*

Theorem: *The labels determine an increasing filtration of $\{C_\lambda^*(G_t)\}$ by continuous fields of ideals. The corresponding fields of subquotients are Morita-equivalent to constant fields (of commutative C^* -algebras).*

This is for *complex* G , so far.

Infinitesimal Representations

The *Hecke algebra* of G is the convolution algebra $H(G, K)$ of distributions on G supported on K .

Lemma

Nondegenerate $H(G, K)$ -modules $\equiv (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules

The Hecke algebra is *filtered* by distribution order.

Lemma

The associated graded algebra for $H(G, K)$ is the Hecke algebra $H(G_0, K)$ for the contracted group.

There is therefore a *natural deformation* from $H(G_0, K)$ into $H(G, K) \dots$

Local Hecke Algebras

Fix $\tau \in \widehat{K}$ and form the *local Hecke algebra*

$$H(G, \tau) = p_\tau H(G)^K p_\tau$$

Lemma

Irreducible $H(G, \tau)$ -modules \cong *Irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules with nonzero τ -component*

As before, $H(G_0, \tau)$ is the associated graded algebra of $H(G, \tau)$

Both are finite type algebras (Harish-Chandra).

Spherical Representations

Lemma

For G complex semisimple

$$H(G, 1) \cong Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

(consider \mathfrak{g} as a complex Lie algebra) and more generally

$$H(G, \tau) \cong (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\tau^*))^K$$

So $H(G_0, 1) \cong S(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and $H(G_0, \tau) \cong (S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{End}(\tau^*))^K$.

Harish-Chandra defined

$$Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{a})$$

and proved it to be an isomorphism onto $U(\mathfrak{a})^W$ as follows:

- The image is in $U(\mathfrak{a})^W$ (intertwiners)
- The associated graded map is bijective onto $S(\mathfrak{a})^W$ (Chevalley).

Theorem (Harish-Chandra/Chevalley Isomorphism)

$$H(G, 1) \cong S(\mathfrak{a})^W \cong H(G_0, 1)$$

This identifies the spherical representations of G and G_0 .

There are now several proofs (including an amazing Dirac operator-based proof of Alekseev-Meinrenken . . .)

The theorem identifies the spherical duals of G and G_0 . Does it extend to other parts of the dual?

Classification of Irreducible Representations

Complex G : Classification begun by Berezin in 1950's (at Gelfand's suggestion), completed 20 years later (Zhelobenko, Duflo, ...).

Let's continue to focus on these.

Harish-Chandra's Subquotient Theorem: *All irreps are subquotients of the principal series.*

Vogan's Refinement: *All irreps with **minimal** K -type σ occur in certain **specific** principal series.*

By Vogan's theorem, the lowest τ - K -type representations factor through the image of a generalized Harish-Chandra homomorphism

$$H(G, \tau) \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Theorem (A Higher Harish-Chandra Isomorphism)

The images of

$$H(G, \tau) \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{a})$$

and the associated graded map

$$H(G_0, \tau) \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{a})$$

are equal.

Proof.

One can use Harish-Chandra's method: apply a Chevalley-type restriction theorem and an intertwiner argument (Weyl character formula). □

Real Groups

(Work in progress with David Vogan.) The correct Harish-Chandra maps have **noncommutative** target, in general (related to non-uniqueness of minimal K -types). However ...

Theorem (or “Theorem”)

For each $\tau \in \widehat{K}$ there exists

$$H(G, \tau) \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{a}_\tau)$$

(defined by Vogan) that classifies τ -minimal K -type reps.

The associated graded map classifies τ -minimal K -type representations of G_0 , and the images of these two maps are equal.

The correspondence between irreducible representations of G and G_0 so-determined is a well-defined bijection between the duals of G and G_0 .

