# Interpreting and Extending Principal Components

### Naomi Altman Penn State University



#### Dept. of Meteorology February, 2015

Altman (Penn State)

# The Many Roles of Principal Component Analysis

# Principal Component Analysis is an essential tool in high dimensional data analysis

- What is PCA?
- How does PCA uncover patterns in high dimensional data?
- Can we interpret the principal component (eigen) vectors?
- Aligning the principal components with the known patterns in the data.
- Generalizations of principal components.
- Other useful principal components.

#### Spatial Patterns

Suppose we have spatial patterns that "generate" our data.



Altman (Penn State)

#### **Spatial Patterns**

The ideal data are a time evolution of these patterns.



#### Pattern 1 + Pattern 2

#### Pattern 1 + 2 $\times$ Pattern 2

Altman (Penn State)

#### **Spatial Patterns**

The observed data are a time evolution of these patterns plus noise.



Pattern 1 + Pattern 2+noise

#### Pattern 1 + 2 × Pattern 2+noise

Altman (Penn State)

#### **Spatial Patterns**

Principal components analysis is often used as a means of uncovering the underlying component patterns.

#### **Spatial Patterns**

Principal components analysis is often used as a means of uncovering the underlying component patterns.

What happens when the data are the actual PCs?

#### **Spatial Patterns**

Principal components analysis is often used as a means of uncovering the underlying component patterns.

What happens when the data are the actual PCs?



#### **Spatial Patterns**

What happened when the data are the actual PCs?

Principal components must be **uncorrelated**.

The 2 original patterns had correlation 0.6.

Only 2 PCs can be found (since there are only 2 time points). But they might not be the original patterns.

However, the original patterns are linear combinations of the 2 PCs.

#### **Spatial Patterns**

What happens when the data are the noise-free linear combinations of the 2 PCs "evolving" over time?



#### **Spatial Patterns**

#### PCs from the noise-free linearly evolving patterns



#### Computing the Principal Components

In the context of climate data:

- Some variable (e.g. sea level pressure) has been interpolated to a spatial grid.
- "Observations" have been averaged to a discrete set of times at each grid point.
- At each grid point, the time average of the variable is subtracted off.
- A data matrix X is formed by stringing out the 2-D (or even 3-D) spatial data into the columns.
- Each time point is a row.

#### Computing the Principal Components

In the context of climate data:

- The area-weighted (empirical) covariance matrix of the spatial data is computed.
- $V = X^{\top}AX$  where A is a diagonal matrix of areas.
- To avoid notation problems, we are going to replace X by  $X\sqrt{(A)}$ , so we can write  $V = X^{\top}X$ .
- The ordered eigenvectors of the covariance matrix are the principal components.
- The eigenvalues are the variance of the projection of the area-weighted data on the principal components.

#### Computing the Principal Components

In the context of climate data:

- The area-weighted (empirical) covariance matrix of the spatial data is computed.
- $V = X^{\top}AX$  where A is a diagonal matrix of areas.
- To avoid notation problems, we are going to replace X by  $X\sqrt{(A)}$ , so we can write  $V = X^{\top}X$ .
- The ordered eigenvectors of the covariance matrix are the principal components.
- The eigenvalues are the variance of the projection of the area-weighted data on the principal components.

Notice that the spatial information is not used - only variability over time.

#### **Elliptical Distributions**

For elliptical distributions, the PCs are the major axes of the density ellipsoid.



Altman (Penn State)

#### The Latent Factor Model

- Suppose we have *r* "spatial patterns" the latent factors.
- These patterns are the oscillations or modes of variation.
- Each pattern can be summarized by a number at each location.
- This leads to an  $r \times p$  matrix Q with r < p and full rank r.

#### The Latent Factor Model

- Suppose we have *r* "spatial patterns" the latent factors.
- These patterns are the oscillations or modes of variation.
- Each pattern can be summarized by a number at each location.
- This leads to an  $r \times p$  matrix Q with r < p and full rank r.
- At any given time *t* there is a weighting vector  $c_t$  and we observe  $\mu + c_t^\top Q + \epsilon_t$  at the locations, where  $\mu_s$  is the field mean at location *s* and  $\epsilon_t$  is a noise component uncorrelated with  $c_t$ .
- PCA treats the c<sub>t</sub>'s as independent random vectors with unit variance.
- The c<sub>t</sub>'s for the n observation times are then accumulated into an n × r matrix C and the e<sub>t</sub>'s are accumulated into an n × p noise matrix.
- Then the centered data are  $X = CQ + \epsilon$ .

#### The Latent Factor Model

Under the assumption

$$X = CQ + \epsilon$$

we have

$$(n-1)$$
Var $(X) = E(X^{\top}X) = Q^{\top}Q + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}I$ 

PCA can "recover" Q in the sense that an spatial pattern that is a linear combination of the r latent factors can also be expressed as a linear combination of the first r principal components.

This is because the r eigenvectors of Q associated with the non-zero eigenvalues are also eigenvectors of Var(X).

And the eigenvalues of  $Q^{\top}Q + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 I$  are the eigenvalues of  $Q^{\top}Q + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ .

#### **Empirical PCA**

The result on the previous slide used the "expected value" of the sample variance which we do not get to see.

We have only the observed value  $X^{\top}AX$ .

It turns out we do not need to appeal to large sample theory to use empirical PCA.

This is because of the properties of the singular value decomposition (SVD).

Let *M* be an  $n \times p$  matrix. Then  $M = udv^{\top}$  where

- u is  $n \times n$  orthonormal (left singular vectors).
- *d* is  $n \times p$  upper diagonal with  $d_1 \ge d_2 \cdots d_p \ge 0$  (singular values).
- v is  $p \times p$  orthonormal (right singular vectors).
- If the singular values are unique then *u* and *v* are well-defined.

Let *M* be an  $n \times p$  matrix. Then  $M = udv^{\top}$  where

- u is  $n \times n$  orthonormal (left singular vectors).
- *d* is  $n \times p$  upper diagonal with  $d_1 \ge d_2 \cdots d_p \ge 0$  (singular values).
- v is  $p \times p$  orthonormal (right singular vectors).
- If the singular values are unique then *u* and *v* are well-defined.

#### SVD and empirical PCA

Let X be the centered data matrix with SVD  $X = udv^{\top}$ .  $X^{\top}X = vd^2v^{\top}$  so:

Let *M* be an  $n \times p$  matrix. Then  $M = udv^{\top}$  where

- u is  $n \times n$  orthonormal (left singular vectors).
- *d* is  $n \times p$  upper diagonal with  $d_1 \ge d_2 \cdots d_p \ge 0$  (singular values).
- v is  $p \times p$  orthonormal (right singular vectors).
- If the singular values are unique then *u* and *v* are well-defined.

#### SVD and empirical PCA

Let X be the centered data matrix with SVD  $X = udv^{\top}$ .  $X^{\top}X = vd^2v^{\top}$  so:

The principal components of *X* are the right singular vectors of *X*.

Let *M* be an  $n \times p$  matrix. Then  $M = u dv^{\top}$  where

- u is  $n \times n$  orthonormal (left singular vectors).
- *d* is  $n \times p$  upper diagonal with  $d_1 \ge d_2 \cdots d_p \ge 0$  (singular values).
- *v* is  $p \times p$  orthonormal (right singular vectors).
- If the singular values are unique then *u* and *v* are well-defined.

#### SVD and empirical PCA

Let X be the centered data matrix with SVD  $X = udv^{\top}$ .  $X^{\top}X = vd^2v^{\top}$  so:

- The principal components of *X* are the right singular vectors of *X*.
- The variances associated with the principal components are the squares of the singular values of X.

Principal components analysis is effective at finding patterns in data because of two strongly related properties of the right singular vectors of X.

Let  $B n \times k$  and  $W p \times k$  both have rank k.

For a matrix M let M[+k] be the matrix of the first k columns of M.

#### Matrix nearness and SVD

A pair minimizing  $|| X - BW^{\top} ||$  is W = v[+k] and B = Xv[+k].

Principal components analysis is effective at finding patterns in data because of two strongly related properties of the right singular vectors of X.

Let  $B n \times k$  and  $W p \times k$  both have rank k.

For a matrix M let M[+k] be the matrix of the first k columns of M.

#### Matrix nearness and SVD

A pair minimizing  $|| X - BW^{\top} ||$  is W = v[+k] and B = Xv[+k].

#### Latent variable regression

A pair minimizing  $|| X - XBW^{\top} ||$  is B = W = v[+k].

Principal components analysis is effective at finding patterns in data because of two strongly related properties of the right singular vectors of X.

Let  $B n \times k$  and  $W p \times k$  both have rank k.

For a matrix M let M[+k] be the matrix of the first k columns of M.

#### Matrix nearness and SVD

A pair minimizing  $|| X - BW^{\top} ||$  is W = v[+k] and B = Xv[+k].

#### Latent variable regression

A pair minimizing  $|| X - XBW^{\top} ||$  is B = W = v[+k].

Furthermore

$$\parallel X - Xv[+k]v[+k]^{\top} \parallel^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^{k} \delta_i^{i}$$

n

#### PCs, matrix nearness and prediction

- Matrix nearness and SVD: The PC's give the best low rank approximation to the centered data.
- Latent variable regression: The PC's are the best predictors of X (for given rank k).

#### BUT ...

The matrix nearness and best predictor results are not unique. Replace W = v[+k] by *WG* for any invertible *G*.

#### Latent variable regression

#### Denoising

- The last *p* − *r* principal components are essentially *noise*.
- So  $Xv[+r]v[+r]^{\top}$  is a *denoised* version of *X*.

Latent variable regression

Missing value imputation

Pattern searching

#### Latent variable regression

#### Missing value imputation

- Regression of X on the first k principal components provides the best possible linear predictor of X on any k-dimensional basis.
- So imputing missing values by their predicted values provides the best linear imputation.
- Pattern searching

#### Latent variable regression

#### Missing value imputation

- Regression of X on the first k principal components provides the best possible linear predictor of X on any k-dimensional basis.
- So imputing missing values by their predicted values provides the best linear imputation.

#### Pattern searching

•  $v_1 \cdots v_k$  are a basis of the predictor space ordered by  $R^2$ .

#### Latent variable regression

#### Missing value imputation

- Regression of X on the first k principal components provides the best possible linear predictor of X on any k-dimensional basis.
- So imputing missing values by their predicted values provides the best linear imputation.

#### Pattern searching

- $v_1 \cdots v_k$  are a basis of the predictor space ordered by  $R^2$ .
- So,  $v_1$  could be thought of as the dominant signal in the data.
- $v_i$  is the dominant signal orthogonal to the signals with higher SNR.

# Interpreting PCA

#### What are the PCs?

Problems arise when you try to interpret the PCs - especially the higher order PCs.

For example, suppose there are 4 times with patterns 1,1,1,2 and no noise.



#### What are the PCs?

#### Suppose instead there are 4 times with patterns 1,2,2,2 and no noise.



# **Interpreting PCA**

#### What are the PCs?

One idea is to use varimax rotations to "align" the PCs with the data.



#### What are the PCs?

These days statisticians use "sparse" PCA to force some of the loadings to be zero.

This is done through a penalized latent variable regression.

This gave

- PC1 = pattern 1, PC2 = pattern 2 for 1,1,1,2
- PC1 = pattern 2, PC2 = pattern 1 for 1,2,2,2

which is exactly correct.

# Latent variable regression

Latent variable regression provides a framework for extensions of PCA.

Extended PCA via regression

- Minimum Distance SVD
- Penalized PCA

Minimum Distance

Robust PCA:

Minimum distance SVD

Bregman SVD (generalized PCA)

Maximum Likelihood SVD

#### Minimum Distance

Robust PCA:

To reduce sensitivity to outliers, use robust regression instead of least squares regression in the latent variable regression.

- Minimum distance SVD
  - Bregman SVD (generalized PCA)
  - Maximum Likelihood SVD

#### Minimum Distance

#### Robust PCA:

To reduce sensitivity to outliers, use robust regression instead of least squares regression in the latent variable regression.

- There is another form of robust PCA using the eigen-decomposition of a robust variance estimator.
- Minimum distance SVD
  - Bregman SVD (generalized PCA)
  - Maximum Likelihood SVD

#### Minimum Distance

#### Robust PCA:

- To reduce sensitivity to outliers, use robust regression instead of least squares regression in the latent variable regression.
- There is another form of robust PCA using the eigen-decomposition of a robust variance estimator.

#### Minimum distance SVD

- Replace L<sub>2</sub> distance with another metric or divergence in the SVD matrix nearness problem.
- Bregman SVD (generalized PCA)

#### Maximum Likelihood SVD

#### Minimum Distance

#### Robust PCA:

- To reduce sensitivity to outliers, use robust regression instead of least squares regression in the latent variable regression.
- There is another form of robust PCA using the eigen-decomposition of a robust variance estimator.

#### Minimum distance SVD

- Replace L<sub>2</sub> distance with another metric or divergence in the SVD matrix nearness problem.
- Bregman SVD (generalized PCA)
- In linear exponential families, do uncentered SVD using Bregman divergence (generalized linear model, MLE)
- Maximum Likelihood SVD

# Latent variable regression

Extended PCA via regression

Penalized PCA

#### Penalized PCA

e.g. Sparse PCA (Zou, Hastie and Tibshirani, 2006)

- Let  $x_i$  be the  $i^{th}$  row of the data matrix x.
- Let A be an orthonormal  $n \times k$  matrix.
- Let *W* be an  $n \times k$  matrix with rank *k* and columns  $W_i$ .

To extract *k* penalized "principal components" minimize:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \parallel x_i - x_i A W^\top \parallel^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k P_\lambda(W_i)$$

Related methods penalize W in the matrix nearness formulation.

#### Penalized PCA

e.g. Sparse PCA (Zou, Hastie and Tibshirani, 2006)

- Let  $x_i$  be the  $i^{th}$  row of the data matrix x.
- Let A be an orthonormal  $n \times k$  matrix.
- Let W be an  $n \times k$  matrix with rank k and columns  $W_i$ .
- To extract *k* penalized "principal components" minimize:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \parallel x_i - x_i A W^\top \parallel^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k P_\lambda(W_i)$$

This could also be put in the context of Bayesian models, for which the penalty is the prior log-likelihood.

Related methods penalize W in the matrix nearness formulation.

# Latent variable regression

Extended PCA via regression

Kernel PCA

#### Kernel PCA

• A set of nonlinear basis functions  $\phi_i : \Re^p \to \Re$  is selected

$$= 1 \cdots p > P.$$

- The Euclidean covariance matrix is replaced by the kernel covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi(x_j) \phi(x_j)^{\top}$  where  $x_j$  is the *j*<sup>th</sup> row of the data matrix.
- Kernel PCA finds a basis for approximating nonlinear functions of the data.

#### Centering

- Since the right singular vectors are the important quantities, should we center?
- It makes little difference to the fitted values, but affects interpretation.
- Discussed in detail in Cadima and Jolliffe(2009).

#### Centering

- Since the right singular vectors are the important quantities, should we center?
- It makes little difference to the fitted values, but affects interpretation.
- Discussed in detail in Cadima and Jolliffe(2009).



Altman (Penn State)

#### **Other Matrix Factorizations**

 If the data are non-negative, non-negative matrix factorizations (NMF) may be more interpretable.

# Independent Component Analysis (ICA)Factor Analysis

#### **Other Matrix Factorizations**

- If the data are non-negative, non-negative matrix factorizations (NMF) may be more interpretable.
- This looks like (generalized) SVD with non-negativity constraints but has some surprising properties (non-nested).

- Independent Component Analysis (ICA)
- Factor Analysis

#### **Other Matrix Factorizations**

- If the data are non-negative, non-negative matrix factorizations (NMF) may be more interpretable.
- This looks like (generalized) SVD with non-negativity constraints but has some surprising properties (non-nested).
- NMF tends to be sparse.
- Can be used for clustering (similar to k-means).
- Independent Component Analysis (ICA)
- Factor Analysis

#### PCA is excellent for finding a low dimensional basis for climate patterns.

Latent variable regression and matrix nearness (i.e. SVD) explain many of the good properties of PCA.

- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness (i.e. SVD) explain many of the good properties of PCA.
- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness unify methods for extending PCA by using penalized versions or different norms.

- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness (i.e. SVD) explain many of the good properties of PCA.
- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness unify methods for extending PCA by using penalized versions or different norms.
- Other matrix decompositions may be at least as useful e.g. various NMF methods.

- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness (i.e. SVD) explain many of the good properties of PCA.
- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness unify methods for extending PCA by using penalized versions or different norms.
- Other matrix decompositions may be at least as useful e.g. various NMF methods.
- Interpretation of the PCs as climate patterns or modes is not scientifically justified.

- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness (i.e. SVD) explain many of the good properties of PCA.
- Latent variable regression and matrix nearness unify methods for extending PCA by using penalized versions or different norms.
- Other matrix decompositions may be at least as useful e.g. various NMF methods.
- Interpretation of the PCs as climate patterns or modes is not scientifically justified.
- If there are "known" patterns, regress these out and use PCA on the residuals!

# Thanks to

#### NSF

For funding part of the work through DMS 1007801.

#### NIH

For funding part of the work through NIH UL1RR033184.

#### SAMSI (NSF)

For funding part of the work and providing an environment in which the work could be pursued and discussed.

Participants of SAMSI Working Groups: Inference, Streaming and Sketching, Datamining and Clustering

For stimulating discussions of the role of PCA in various settings, robust PCA and for discussions of earlier versions of the work.

Wei Luo (Baruch College), Garvesh Raskutti (U. Wisconsin), Frank Shen (Penn State)

Altman (Penn State)



#### Penn State University

Altman (Penn State)